

|   |                        |                     |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |
|   | 10/699,613             | DELEULE, ARNAUD     |
|   | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |
|   | Phallaka Kik           | 2825                |

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** non-final rejection

(1) Phallaka Kik. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Jose Gutman (Reg. No. 35,171). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 3 March 2006

**Time:** 11:40 AM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*102(e) rejection of claims 1-24*

**Claims discussed:**

*1,3-4,13*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*Reinschmidt et al. (U.S. patent application publication no. 2002/0084331).*

**Part II.**


**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In response to the Examiner's proposal to amend claims 1,13 so that the binary signal generated is independent of other binary signal output signals of other layers, to distinguish Applicant's invention from the prior art made of record, as argued by Applicant (see amendment filed on 2/14/2006, pages 11-12), Applicant's Representative authorizes the Examiner to amend claims 1,13 as given in the attached Examiner's Amendment. In addition, Applicant's Representative also authorizes the Examiner to amend claims 3-4 to remove the minor informalities problems, as given in the attached Examiner's Amendment..